What is Biodiversity - Compiled Evaluation Report

Three peer reviewers rated each of the following evaluation items. The results below show the averages of their ratings, ranging from the lowest of one star (\star = Strongly Disagree) to the most favorable rating of 5 stars (\star \star \star =Strongly Agree).

Interface Design

1. The navigation system is easy to use.	★★★★ ¹ / ₄
2. The information, graphics, etc. are uncluttered.	★★★★ ³ / ₄
3. The tutorial uses highly readable colours, fonts and text sizes.	****
Average Rating for The Interface Design*	****

4. Comments about the Interface Design (Optional):

Quality of Content

5. The tutorial is free of spelling and grammatical errors.	★★★★ ³ / ₄
6. The tutorial is free of informational errors.	★★★★ ¾
7. The content of the tutorial is up-to-date.	***
8. The graphics are of good quality.	**** ³ / ₄
9. The LO has made suitable reference to credible literature sources and / or www resources.	*****
10. The content is well organized and all information can be easily located.	****
Average Rating for The Quality of Content*	****

11. Comments about the Quality of the Content (Optional):

Learning Potential

12. The tutorial could aid students' understanding of the topic being presented.	***** ³ / ₄
13. The tutorial provides opportunities for higher-order thinking.	**** ³ / ₄
14. Learners are required to use the tutorial in an interactive way.	****
15. Any possible 'alternative views' on the topic addressed in the tutorial been fairly represented.	****
16. The tutorial could be easily incorporated into various course structures.	★★★★ ¾
Average Rating for The Learning Potential*	****

17. Comments about the Learning Potential (Optional):

Reviewer 1: Hoit spots of diversity are VEERY controversial. Noone dares oppose the view since \$750 have been given to the idea. But the science behind the hot-spot idea has been strongkly criticised.

Quality of the LRC Record

18. An accurate web address and information about how to gain access to the tutorial is given.	★★★★ ³ / ₄
19. The technical (computing/software) requirements detailed in the LRC are accurate.	★★★★ ¹ / ₄
20. The educational aims/goals are well described in the LRC.	****
21. The educational level is clearly identified in the LRC record.	★★★★ ³ ⁄ ₄
22. Pre-requisite knowledge and skills are accurately identified.	★★★★ ¹ / ₄
23. The author has given enough information for users to effectively and efficiently use the	★★★★ ¹ / ₄
24. Overall, the information given in the LRC record accurately matches the tutorial.	★★★★ ³ ⁄ ₄
Average Rating for The Quality of the LRC Record*	****

25. Comments about the Resource Record (Optional):

General Comments

26. General Comments about the tutorial (Optional):

Reviewer 2: The navigation and content of the tutorial are highly readable and easy to use. For myself, I think the sentences are easy to understand. Last year I gave a print-out materials to our undergraduate students (the second year), they felt difficult because there were many terms to them. However, I think if it should be ok if they can read the tutorial materials because the information is very attractive and all the scientific terms have accurrate definitions. It is a good materials for the students to expand their knowledge.

Average Rating* ★★★½

^{*}Rounded to the nearest 0.25

What is Biodiversity - Reviewer 1

Reviewers were asked to rate each of the following evaluation items. The results below show their ratings ranging from the lowest of one star (\star = Strongly Disagree) to the most favorable rating of 5 stars (\star \star \star \star =Strongly Agree).

1. The navigation system is easy to use.	****
2. The information, graphics, etc. are uncluttered.	****
3. The tutorial uses highly readable colours, fonts and text sizes.	***
Average Rating for The Interface Design*	****

4. Comments about the Interface Design (Optional):

Quality of Content

5. The tutorial is free of spelling and grammatical errors.	****
6. The tutorial is free of informational errors.	****
7. The content of the tutorial is up-to-date.	****
8. The graphics are of good quality.	****
9. The LO has made suitable reference to credible literature sources and / or www resources.	****
10. The content is well organized and all information can be easily located.	****
Average Rating for The Quality of Content*	****

11. Comments about the Quality of the Content (Optional):

Learning Potential

12. The tutorial could aid students' understanding of the topic being presented.	****
13. The tutorial provides opportunities for higher-order thinking.	****
14. Learners are required to use the tutorial in an interactive way.	***
15. Any possible 'alternative views' on the topic addressed in the tutorial been fairly represented.	***
16. The tutorial could be easily incorporated into various course structures.	****
Average Rating for The Learning Potential*	****

17. Comments about the Learning Potential (Optional):

Quality of the LRC Record

18. An accurate web address and information about how to gain access to the tutorial is given.	****
19. The technical (computing/software) requirements detailed in the LRC are accurate.	****
20. The educational aims/goals are well described in the LRC.	****
21. The educational level is clearly identified in the LRC record.	****
22. Pre-requisite knowledge and skills are accurately identified.	****
23. The author has given enough information for users to effectively and efficiently use the	****
24. Overall, the information given in the LRC record accurately matches the tutorial.	****
Average Rating for The Quality of the LRC Record*	****

25. Comments about the Resource Record (Optional):

General Comments

26. General Comments about the tutorial (Optional):

Average Rating* ★★★½

*Rounded to the nearest 0.25

What is Biodiversity - Reviewer 2

Reviewers were asked to rate each of the following evaluation items. The results below show their ratings ranging from the lowest of one star (\star = Strongly Disagree) to the most favorable rating of 5 stars (\star \star * \star *=Strongly Agree).

Interface Design

_	
1. The navigation system is easy to use.	****
2. The information, graphics, etc. are uncluttered.	****
3. The tutorial uses highly readable colours, fonts and text sizes.	****
Average Rating for The Interface Design*	***

4. Comments about the Interface Design (Optional):

Quality of Content

5. The tutorial is free of spelling and grammatical errors.	****
6. The tutorial is free of informational errors.	****
7. The content of the tutorial is up-to-date.	****
8. The graphics are of good quality.	****
9. The LO has made suitable reference to credible literature sources and / or www resources.	****
10. The content is well organized and all information can be easily located.	****
Average Rating for The Quality of Content*	****

11. Comments about the Quality of the Content (Optional):

Learning Potential

Lourning i otoniui	
12. The tutorial could aid students' understanding of the topic being presented.	****
13. The tutorial provides opportunities for higher-order thinking.	****
14. Learners are required to use the tutorial in an interactive way.	****
15. Any possible 'alternative views' on the topic addressed in the tutorial been fairly represented.	****
16. The tutorial could be easily incorporated into various course structures.	****
Average Rating for The Learning Potential*	****

17. Comments about the Learning Potential (Optional):

Quality of the LRC Record

18. An accurate web address and information about how to gain access to the tutorial is given.	****
19. The technical (computing/software) requirements detailed in the LRC are accurate.	****
20. The educational aims/goals are well described in the LRC.	****
21. The educational level is clearly identified in the LRC record.	****
22. Pre-requisite knowledge and skills are accurately identified.	****
23. The author has given enough information for users to effectively and efficiently use the	****
24. Overall, the information given in the LRC record accurately matches the tutorial.	****
Average Rating for The Quality of the LRC Record*	****

25. Comments about the Resource Record (Optional):

General Comments

26. General Comments about the tutorial (Optional):

The navigation and content of the tutorial are highly readable and easy to use. For myself, I think the sentences are easy to understand. Last year I gave a print-out materials to our undergraduate students (the second year), they felt difficult because

Average Rating* ★★★★

^{*}Rounded to the nearest 0.25

What is Biodiversity - Reviewer 3

Reviewers were asked to rate each of the following evaluation items. The results below show their ratings ranging from the lowest of one star (\star = Strongly Disagree) to the most favorable rating of 5 stars (\star \star *=Strongly Agree).

Interface Design

1. The navigation system is easy to use.	***
2. The information, graphics, etc. are uncluttered.	***
3. The tutorial uses highly readable colours, fonts and text sizes.	***
Average Rating for The Interface Design*	***

4. Comments about the Interface Design (Optional):

Quality of Content

5. The tutorial is free of spelling and grammatical errors.	****
6. The tutorial is free of informational errors.	****
7. The content of the tutorial is up-to-date.	***
8. The graphics are of good quality.	****
9. The LO has made suitable reference to credible literature sources and / or www resources.	****
10. The content is well organized and all information can be easily located.	****
Average Rating for The Quality of Content*	***

11. Comments about the Quality of the Content (Optional):

Learning Potential

10 Th 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	T 4 4 4 4
12. The tutorial could aid students' understanding of the topic being presented.	***
13. The tutorial provides opportunities for higher-order thinking.	****
14. Learners are required to use the tutorial in an interactive way.	***
15. Any possible 'alternative views' on the topic addressed in the tutorial been fairly represented.	***
16. The tutorial could be easily incorporated into various course structures.	***
Average Rating for The Learning Potential*	****

17. Comments about the Learning Potential (Optional):

Quality of the LRC Record

18. An accurate web address and information about how to gain access to the tutorial is given.	****
19. The technical (computing/software) requirements detailed in the LRC are accurate.	****
20. The educational aims/goals are well described in the LRC.	****
21. The educational level is clearly identified in the LRC record.	****
22. Pre-requisite knowledge and skills are accurately identified.	****
23. The author has given enough information for users to effectively and efficiently use the	***
24. Overall, the information given in the LRC record accurately matches the tutorial.	****
Average Rating for The Quality of the LRC Record*	****

25. Comments about the Resource Record (Optional):

General Comments

26. General Comments about the tutorial (Optional):

Average Rating*	****

^{*}Rounded to the nearest 0.25